

Serial No. 10/799,393  
Amdt. Dated February 8, 2006  
Reply to Restriction Requirement of December 8, 2005

Remarks

The Examiner requested election to one of the following species of the claimed invention:

I. Claims 1-16 and 34, drawn to "illumination system with a lame housing for pavement",

II. Claims 17-26 and 34, drawn to "mounting means for supporting a recessed light fixture",

III. Claims 27-34, drawn to "illumination system with a ventilating means".

Applicant does not agree with the Examiner's description of what these claims cover and do not agree that a restriction is appropriate in this case. The Examiner has requested that Applicant elect one of the above for further prosecution in the event that no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant elects claims 1-16 and 34 for further prosecution. The Examiner has identified claim 34 as a generic claim and Applicants respectfully request that this claim is allowable such that all claims herein should be examined.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely notice of allowance be issued for all claims in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jaye G. Heybl  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 42,661

February 8, 2006

KOPPEL JACOBS PATRICK & HEYBL  
555 St. Charles Drive, Suite #107  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360  
(805) 373-0060